

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/712,743	11/14/2000	Roberta L. Patnode	10655.9500	9259	
7590 06/12/2006		EXAMINER			
SNELL & WI	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.			BARQADLE, YASIN M	
One Arizona Ce	enter				
400 East Van Buren			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Phoenix, AZ 85004-0001			2153		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/712,743	PATNODE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Yasin M. Barqadle	2153			

	Yasin M. Barqadle	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendme tice of Appeal (with appeal f	ent, affidavit, or other evider ee) in compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH	mailing date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		OFR 1.136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for re than three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The appropr ply originally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	'(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	landa da aka dha akaka ak mba	. 1 2.6 . 90 1	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (s		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	_	ally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(570) 00 ()
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Ion-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a nonf-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 		•	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Will be efficied and an o	эхріапацоп от
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,5,7,11,14,18-22,24,25,31,32,34,5</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3,6,8-10,12,13,15</u>	<u>85,40,43,44 and 46</u> . 5-17,23,26-30,33,36-39,42,4	5,47 and 48.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		N 0 64 1 11	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde	r appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) F	Paper No(s).	
		GLENTON B. BUR	GESS
		SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amended limitation of "wherein said financial advice applications is fully executable at said client computer" in independent claims such as 1,11, 21, 31 and 41 requires further consideration and /or search.